UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,278	02/27/2004	Edward F. Reus	MS1-1907US	8183	
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 10/20/200 S PLLC	8	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			NGUYEN, DUSTIN		
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2454		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/789,278	REUS ET AL.					
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DUSTIN NGUYEN	2454					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Dustin Nguyen</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>David Thompson</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.							
Identification of prior art discussed: O'Hara [US Patent 7302256].							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney provides detail explanation of the claimed invention and it appears the amendment/remarks overcome the O'Hara reference. Examiner needs to update further search. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Dustin Nguyen/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454							